



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,988	02/18/2000	Jordan J. N. Tang	OMRF 176	9126

90

PATREA L. PABST HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET,STE. 2000 ATLANTA,, GA 30309-3400

02/19/2003

EXAMINER	
 SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1625

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Application No. 09/506,988 TANG ET AL. Examiner D. Margaret Seaman 1625 THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Condition for Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request fo	WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
Advisory Action Examiner D. Margaret Seaman 1625 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application.	WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
D. Margaret Seaman The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application.	WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application.	WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application.	WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the applicati	cation in r Continued whichever is later. In action. N. See MPEP expropriate extension
Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ection. N. See MPEP opropriate extension
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	ection. N. See MPEP opropriate extension
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. States of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection.	propriate extension
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final C(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nal Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below););
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sim issues for appeal; and/or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claimsNOTE:	ims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed a canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT application in condition for allowance because: <u>The rejections stand for reasons of record</u> .	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered are explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	d and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 11</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,6-8,10 and 12</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examin	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	ı
D. Margaret Seama Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office